1 2	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID GAPPA	
3	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 1:21-cr-00205-ADA-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE AUGUST 28, 2023, HEARING TO SEPTEMBER 11, 2023; ORDER
13	v.	
14	ALDO DAVID ALCARAZ,	Ctrm: 1
15	Defendant.	Hon. Ana de Alba
16		
17	This case is set for a change of plea hearing on August 28, 2023.	
18	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, through their respective attorneys of record, Assistant	
19	United States Attorney David Gappa, counsel for the government, and Thomas P. Matthews, counsel for	
20	defendant Aldo David Alcaraz (defendant), that the <b>August 28, 2023, change of plea hearing be</b>	
21	continued to September 11, 2023, at 8:30 a.m. The parties request that the court issue the proposed	
22	order based on the following considerations:	
23	The parties base this stipulation on good cause. Specifically,	
24	1. By prior stipulation, the parties moved to continue a July 10, 2023, motion hearing until	
25	August 28, 2023, as well as to exclude time between July 10, 2023, through August 28, 2023, under 18	
26	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).	
27	2. The parties based this stipulation on good cause, more specifically:	
28	a) In May 2023, the prosecut	ion of this case was re-assigned to Assistant United

## Case 1:21-cr-00205-ADA-BAM Document 53 Filed 08/10/23 Page 2 of 3

States Attorney, David Gappa. The government filed a designation of counsel form in this regard on April 26, 2022. Dkt. 46.

- b) The government provided a revised proposed plea agreement to the defense, and defense counsel requested and received additional time to consider the agreement. The parties have finalized that agreement, and it has been filed.
- c) While the events described in (b) above took place, counsel for the government was designated to attend a program in a different state that begins on the currently scheduled date of August 28, 2023. The requested new date is the first date that fits the calendars of the court and counsel.
- d) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 10, 2023 through August 28, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(H) and 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 9, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT **United States Attorney** 

By: /s/ DAVID GAPPA DAVID GAPPA

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: August 9, 2023 By: /s/ Thomas P. Matthews Thomas P. Matthews, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

2

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 1:21-cr-00205-ADA-BAM Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE AUGUST 28, **2023, HEARING TO, SEPTEMBER 11, 2023; ORDER** v. ALDO DAVID ALCARAZ. Ctrm: 1 Defendant. Hon. Ana de Alba ORDER IT IS ORDERED that the change of plea hearing currently set for August 28, 2023, at 8:30 a.m. is continued until September 11, 2023, at 8:30 a.m. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the period of time from August 28, 2023, through

September 11, 2023, is deemed excludable under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(H) and 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>August 10, 2023</u>